Mississippi Secretary of State 700 North Street P. O. Box 136, Jackson, MS 39205-0136

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES NO	OTICE FILING								
AGENCY NAME Mississippi State Department of Health	V/2	CONTACT PERSON Mike Lucius		TELEPHONE NUMBER 601-576-7847					
ADDRESS P.O. Box 1700		CITY Jackson		STATE MS	ZIP 39215-1700				
EMAIL SUBMIT Mike.licius@msdh.state.ms.us DATE 9-5-12		Name or number of rule(s): Minimum Standards Of Operation Of Prescribed Pediatric Extended Care (PPEC) Centers							
Short explanation of rule/amendment/repeal a	nd reason(s) for	proposing rule/amendment/repea	l: During the	2012 Regular L	egislative Session, the				
Legislature approved the establishment and lice									
regulations and regulate. This new set of regula									
children serviced by these centers. These Regu	lations are to be	inserted into the Compilation for t	he Departme	nt of Health at	Title 15, Part 3,				
Subpart 1, Chapter 2.									
Specific legal authority authorizing the promulgation of rule: Section 43-13-117, Mississippi Code of 1972, Annotated.									
List all rules repealed, amended, or suspended	by the proposed	rule: New Rule							
ORAL PROCEEDING:									
An oral proceeding is scheduled for this	rule on Date	e: <u>October 26, 2012</u> Time: <u>1:00</u>	– 1:15 p.m.	Place:	Osborne Auditorium,				
MSDH Campus	. 4. 4								
Presently, an oral proceeding is not sch									
If an oral proceeding is not scheduled, an oral proceed ten (10) or more persons. The written request should notice of proposed rule adoption and should include to agent or attorney, the name, address, email address, comment period, written submissions including argur	I be submitted to to the name, address, and telephone nun	he agency contact person at the above email address, and telephone number nber of the party or parties you repres	address within of the person(ent. At any tim	twenty (20) day s) making the re- e within the twe	s after the filing of this quest; and, if you are an enty-five (25) day public				
ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT:									
Economic impact statement not require	ed for this rule.	Concise summary of ec	onomic imp	act statemen	t attached.				
TEMPORARY RULES	PROPO	SED ACTION ON RULES		AL ACTION					
Original filing	Action propo	sed:	Action take	sed Rule Filed: n:					
Renewal of effectiveness	X New rule(s			pted with no cl					
To be in effect in days Effective date:		Amendment to existing rule(s) Repeal of existing rule(s)		Adopted with changes Adopted by reference					
		Adoption by reference		Withdrawn					
Other (specify):	Proposed final effective date:X 30 days after filing		Repeal adopted as proposed						
		(specify):	Effective da	ite: ays after filing					
		22, 202, 2	Othe	er (specify):					
Printed name and Title of person authoriged to file records and the signature of person authorized to file records.			th Officer, Chie	f Administrative	Officer				
	DO NOT	WRITE BELOW THIS LINE							
OFFICIAL FILING STAMP	OFF	ICIAL FILING STAMP	0	FFICIAL FILIN	G STAMP				
=		-							
		ullisin							
		SEP 0 5 2012							
		MISSISSIPPI							
	111	RETARY OF STATE							
Accepted for filing by		r filing by CB19 106CD	Accepted f	or filing by					

The entire text of the Proposed Rule including the text of any rule being amended or changed is attached.



DELBERT HOSEMANN Secretary of State

CONCISE SUMMARY OF ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

An Economic Impact Statement is required for this proposed rule by Section 25-43-3.105 of the Administrative Procedures Act. This is a Concise Summary of the Economic Impact Statement which must be filed with the Secretary of State's Office.

AGENCY NAME	CONTACT PERSON		nya	TELEPHONE NUMBER	
Mississippi State Department of Health	Mike Lucius			601-576-7847	
ADDRESS P.O. Box 1700, 517 East Woodrow Wilson	CITY Jackson		STATE MS	ZIP 39215-1700	
EMAIL Mike.lucius@msdh.state.ms.us	DESCRIPTIVE TITLE OF PROPOSED RULE Minimum Standards of Operation of Prescribed Pediatric Extended Care (PPEC) Centers				
Specific Legal Authority Authorizing the promulgation of Rule; Section 43-13-117, MS Code of 1972, Ann.	Reference to Rules repealed, amended or suspended by the Proposed Rule; New Rule				

A. Estimated Costs and Benefits

1. Briefly summarize the benefits that may result from this regulation and who will benefit:

The law authorizes the licensing of and the establishment of PPEC centers in the State of MS. MSDH is charged responsibility for licensing, promulgation of rules/regulations and the regulating of this new type of healthcare entity. These regulations establish the regulatory framework necessary to mandate a safe environment and establish a standard of care for the children. Medically and technologically dependent children, their parents, families and the community will benefit from these regulations in that this design is developed to provide skilled nursing care to such children on a 12 hour or a 24 hour basis, plus entails the requirement for training and support for the families so further care, afterhours, can be provided in a home-like environment.

- 2. Briefly describe the need for the proposed rule:
 Until passage of this statute, the State of MS did not have an authorized licensed entity specific for the care of medically and technologically dependent children, birth to 21 years of age. Therefore, the State/parents had few alternatives except to house these children in an acute care setting or with private sitters as they require continual or intermittent skilled nursing care. These regulations
 - establish the criteria for licensure, structural design of such healthcare entities, and the establishment of an expected standard of care, should an entity provide care and services to the medically or technologically dependent children in our state.
- 3. Briefly describe the effect the proposed action will have on the public health, safety, and welfare: The health, safety and welfare of, not only these children but also their parents/families should dramatically improve. These regulations establish the criteria for licensure, structural design of such healthcare entity(s), and the establishment of an expected standard of care should an entity choose to provide care and service to the medically or technologically dependent children in our state.

		a. To the agency
		Nothing ☐ Minimal ☐ Moderate ☐ Substantial ☐ Excessive
		b. To other state or local government entities
		Nothing ☐ Minimal ☐ Moderate ☐ Substantial ☐ Excessive
	5.	Estimated Cost and/or economic benefit to all persons directly affected by the proposed rule:
		c. Cost:
		Nothing Minimal Moderate Substantial Excessive d. Economic Benefit:
		☐ Nothing ☐ Minimal ☐ Moderate ☒ Substantial ☐ Excessive
	6	Estimated impact on small businesses:
	V.	☐ Nothing ☐ Minimal ☐ Moderate ☒ Substantial ☐ Excessive
		a. Estimate of the number of small businesses subject to the proposed regulation: Estimate the construction of four-five PPEC centers in MS, considering the large population areas that can support and will have the likelihood of having a high census of medically and technologically dependent children.
		b. Projected costs for small businesses to comply: For construction of such entity,
		equipment/staffing, etc In excess of 1 million dollars.
		c. Statement of probable effect on impacted small businesses:
		Facilities will benefit, especially long-term, as Medicaid has statutory authority to serve as
	-	the fiscal intermediary to support the cost of services to these children.
	/.	The cost of adopting the rule compared to not adopting the rule or significantly amending the
		existing rule (check option):
		substantially less than moderately less than minimally less than the same as minimally more than moderately more than
		substantially more than excessively more than
		buostantary more than excessively more than
	8.	The benefit of adopting the rule compared to not adopting the rule or significantly amending the
		existing rule (check option):
		substantially less than moderately less than minimally less than
		the same as minimally more than moderately more than substantially more than excessively more than
<u> </u>	D	
В.		able Alternative Methods
	1.	Other than adopting this rule, are there less costly or less intrusive methods for achieving the
		purpose of the proposed rule?
	2.	If yes, please briefly describe available, reasonable alternative(s) and the reasons for rejecting those alternatives in favor of the proposed rule. (Please see §25-43-4.104 for factors you must consider.) NA
C.		nd Methodology
		Please briefly describe the data and methodology you used in making the estimates required by this
		form. These children to be served are medically and technologically dependent and require either

4. Estimated Cost of implementing proposed action:

1. Please briefly describe the data and methodology you used in making the estimates required by this form. These children to be served are medically and technologically dependent and require either continuous or intermittent skilled medical and nursing care. Most are Medicaid recipients simply by virtue that the cost of their healthcare, which has been being provided by either private duty nursing, continual hospitalization or frequent intermittent hospitalizations. My methodology would be approximating the cost of private duty nurse staffing every day verses the perdiem per hour that I understand Medicaid pays (in La.). To date, Medicaid (in MS) has not established a cost basis or perdiem for this program.

D. Public Notice

1. Where, when, and how may someone present their views on the proposed rule and request an oral proceeding on the proposed rule if one is not already scheduled?

Oral proceedings are scheduled for October 26, 2012, at 1:00p.m. in the Osborne Auditorium located at 570 East Woodrow Wilson, Jackson, MS

SIGNATURE	TITLE
	Deputy State Health Officer/Chief
mile Lucia	Administrative Officer
DATE	PROPOSED EFFECTIVE DATE OF RULE
9-5-12	30 days after filing